arXiv:1409.2381v1 [math.AP] 8 Sep 2014

ON THE PROPAGATION OF REGULARITIES IN SOLUTIONS OF THE BENJAMIN-ONO EQUATION

PEDRO ISAZA, FELIPE LINARES, AND GUSTAVO PONCE

ABSTRACT. We shall deduce some special regularity properties of solutions to the IVP associated to the Benjamin-Ono equation. Mainly, for datum $u_0 \in H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ whose restriction belongs to $H^m((b,\infty))$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $m \geq 2$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ we shall prove that the restriction of the corresponding solution $u(\cdot,t)$ belongs to $H^m((\beta,\infty))$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $t > 0$. Therefore, this type of regularity of the datum travels with infinite speed to its left as time evolves.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is mainly concerned with special properties of solutions to the initial value problem (IVP) associated to the Benjamin-Ono (BO) equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \partial_x^2 \mathsf{H} u + u\,\partial_x u = 0, \quad x,t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$ bo1

where H denotes the Hilbert transform,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{H}f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\text{p.v.}\Big(\frac{1}{x} * f\Big)(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int\limits_{|y|\geq\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y}\,dy = (-i\,\text{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi))^{\vee}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$ hita

The BO equation was first deduced by Benjamin [4] and Ono [30] as a model for long internal gravity waves in deep stratified fluids. Later, it was also shown to be a completely integrable system (see [2], [9] and references therein). Thus, solutions of the IVP (1.1) satisfy infinitely many conservation laws which provides an *a priori* estimate for the $H^{n/2}$-norm, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, of the solution $u = u(x,t)$ for (1.1). Here we shall only consider real valued solutions of the IVP (1.1).

Following the definition in [18] it is said that the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed (LWP) in the function space X if given any datum $u_0 \in X$ there exists

Date: 8/8/14.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q53. Secondary: 35B05.

Key words and phrases. Benjamin-Ono equation, weighted Sobolev spaces.

$T>0$ and a unique solution

$$u\in C([-T,T]:X)\cap....$$

of the IVP (1.1) with the map data-solution, $u_0\mapsto u$, being continuous. If T can be taken arbitrarily large, one says that the IVP (1.1) is globally well posed (GWP) in X.

The problem of finding the minimal regularity property, measured in the Sobolev scale

$$H^s(\mathbb{R})=\left(1-\partial_x^2\right)^{-s/2}L^2(\mathbb{R}),\quad s\in\mathbb{R},$$

required to guarantee that the IVP (1.1) is locally or globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ has been extensively studied. Thus, one has the following list of works [1], [15], [31], [24], [20], [32], [7] and [14] where GWP was established in $H^0(\mathbb{R})=L^2(\mathbb{R})$, (for further details and results regarding the well-posedness of the IVP (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ we refer to [26]). It should be pointed out that a result found in [29] (see also [25]) implies that none well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for any $s\in\mathbb{R}$ for the IVP (1.1) can be established by a solely contraction principle argument.

Well-posedness of the IVP (1.1) has been also studied in weighted Sobolev spaces

$$Z_{s,r}=H^s(\mathbb{R})\cap L^2(|x|^{2r}dx),\qquad s,r\in\mathbb{R},\tag{1.3}$$ spaceZ

and

$$\dot{Z}_{s,r}=\{f\in H^s(\mathbb{R})\cap L^2(|x|^{2r}dx)\,:\,\widehat{f}(0)=0\},\qquad s,r\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{1.4}$$ spaceZdot

Notice that the conservation law for solutions of (1.1)

$$I_1(u_0)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}u(x,t)dx=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}u_0(x)dx,$$

guarantees that the property $\widehat{u}_0(0)=0$ is preserved by the solution flow.

Motivated by the results in [15], [16] it was established in [11] that the IVP (1.1) is :
LWP (resp. GWP) in $Z_{s,r}$ for $s>9/8$ (resp. $s\geq 3/2$), $s\geq r$ and $r\in(0,5/2)$, and
GWP in $\dot{Z}_{s,r}$ with $s\geq r$ and $r\in[5/2,7/2)$.
Moreover, it was also shown in [11] that the above results are optimal (for further details and results concerning the well posedness of the IVP (1.1) in weighted Sobolev spaces we refer to [12]).

Although we shall be mainly engaged with the IVP (1.1) our results below will also apply to solutions of the IVP associated to the generalized Benjamin-Ono (g-BO) equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u-\partial_x^2\mathsf{H}u+u^k\,\partial_x u=0,\quad x,t\in\mathbb{R},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^+,\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x).\end{cases}\tag{1.5}$$ bok

In this case well-posedness of the IVP for $k \geq 2$ has been considered in [22], [27], [28], [23] where GWP for the IVP (1.5) with $k = 2$ was obtained in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 1/2$ and [33] where LWP of the IVP (1.5) was proven in the critical Sobolev space $H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s_k = 1/2 - 1/k$ for $k \geq 4$ and with $s > 1/3$ for $k = 3$. Addressing the well-posedness of the IVP (1.5) in the weighted Sobolev spaces (1.3) and (1.4) one has that the positive results state before for the IVP (1.1) also applies. However, the optimality of these results in the cases where the power k in (1.5) is an even integer has not been established.

To state our main result we need to describe the space of solutions where we shall be working on.

gwp **Theorem A.** ([31]) *If $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 3/2$, then there exists a unique solution $u = u(x,t)$ of the IVP* (1.1) *such that for any $T > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} \quad u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R} : H^s(\mathbb{R})), \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad \partial_x u \in L^4([-T,T] : L^\infty(\mathbb{R})), \quad \textit{(Strichartz)}, \\ &\text{(iii)} \quad \int_{-T}^{T}\int_{-R}^{R} (|\partial_x D_x u|^2 + |\partial_x^2 u|^2)(x,t)|^2 dxdt \leq c_0, \\ &\text{(iv)} \quad \int_{-T}^{T}\int_{-R}^{R} |\partial_x D_x^{r-1/2} u(x,t)|^2 dxdt \leq c_1, \quad r \in [1,s], \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

bo2

with $c_0 = c_0(R,T,\|u_0\|_{3/2,2})$ and $c_1 = c_1(R,T,\|u_0\|_{s,2})$.

Remark 1.1. *From our previous comments it is clear that the results in Theorem A still holds for the IVP* (1.5) *with $k = 2$ (see* [20]*) and locally in time for $k \geq 3$. Indeed, one can lower the requirement $s \geq 3/2$ to a value between $[1,3/2]$, depending on the k considered, such that a well-posedness including the estimate* (1.6) *(ii) still holds. However, we will not consider this question here.*

To state our main result we introduce the two parameter family (ε, b) with $\varepsilon > 0$, $b \geq 5\varepsilon$ of functions $\chi_{\varepsilon,b} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x) \geq 0$ with

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq \varepsilon, \\ 1, & x \geq b, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

1.1

satisfying (2.2)-(2.8) in section 2.

Th1 **Theorem 1.2.** *Let $u_0 \in H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $u = u(x,t)$ be the corresponding solution of the IVP* (1.1) *provided by Theorem A. If for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for*

some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $m \geq 2$,

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} (\partial_x^m u_0)^2(x)\, dx < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$ bo3

then for any $v > 0$, $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $b \geq 5\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \int (\partial_x^m u(x,t))^2\, \chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x-x_0+vt)\, dx \\ &+ \int_0^T \int (D_x^{1/2}\partial_x^m u(x,t))^2 \chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x-x_0+vt)\, dxdt < c = c(T,\varepsilon,b,v). \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$ bo4

If in addition to (1.8) *there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that any $\varepsilon > 0$, $b > 5\varepsilon$*

$$D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^m u_0\, \chi_{\varepsilon,b}(\cdot - x_0)) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \tag{1.10}$$ bo5

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^m u(x,t)\, \chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x-x_0+vt)))^2\, dx \\ &+ \int_0^T \int (\partial_x^{m+1} u(x,t))^2\, \chi'_{\varepsilon,b}\, \chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x-x_0+vt)\, dxdt < c, \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$ bo6

with $c = c(T,\varepsilon,b,v)$.

Th2 **Theorem 1.3.** *With the same hypotheses the results in Theorem 1.2 apply to solutions of the IVP* (1.5) *globally in time if $k = 2$, and locally in time if $k \geq 3$.*

Remark 1.4.

(a) *From our comments above and our proof of Theorem 1.2 it will be clear that the requirement $u_0 \in H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ in Theorem 1.2 can be lowered to $u_0 \in H^{9/8^+}(\mathbb{R})$ by considering the problem in a finite time interval (see* [20]*).*

(b) *It will be clear from our proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 that they still hold for solutions of the "defocussing" gBO equation*

$$\partial_t u - H\partial_x^2 u - u^k\, \partial_x u = 0, \quad x,t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Therefore, our results apply to $u(-x,-t)$ if $u(x,t)$ is a solution of (1.5)*. In other words, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 resp. remain valid, backward in time, for datum satisfying the hypothesis* (1.8) *and* (1.10) *respectively on the left hand side of the real line.*

The following are direct outcomes of the above comment, the group properties, and Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In order to simplify the exposition we shall state them only for solutions of the IVP (1.1). First, as a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the time reversible character of the equation in (1.1) one has :

cor.1 **Corollary 1.5.** *Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^{3/2^+}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution of the equation in* (1.1) *described in Theorem A. If there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m \geq 2, \hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\partial_x^m u(\cdot,\hat{t}) \notin L^2((a,\infty)),$$

then for any $t \in (-\infty,\hat{t})$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\partial_x^m u(\cdot,t) \notin L^2((\beta,\infty)).$$

Next, one has that for appropriate class of data singularities of the corresponding solutions travel with infinite speed to the left as time evolves.

cor.2 **Corollary 1.6.** *Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R} : H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution of the equation in* (1.1) *described in Theorem A. If there exist $k,m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k \geq m$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b < a$ such that*

$$\int_a^\infty |\partial_x^k u_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \textit{but} \quad \partial_x^m u_0 \notin L^2((b,\infty)), \tag{1.12}$$ A

then for any $t \in (0,\infty)$ and any $v > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_{a+\varepsilon-vt}^\infty |\partial_x^k u(x,t)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

and for any $t \in (-\infty,0)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_\alpha^\infty |\partial_x^m u(x,t)|^2 dx = \infty.$$

Remark 1.7.

(a) *If in Corollary 1.6 in addition to* (1.12) *one assumes that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^b |\partial_x^k u_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

then by combining the results in this corollary with the group properties it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^\beta |\partial_x^m u(x,t)|^2 \, dx = \infty, \quad \textit{for any } \beta \in \mathbb{R} \textit{ and } t > 0.$$

This shows that the regularity in the left hand side does not propagate forward in time.

(b) *Notice that* (1.9) *implies: for any* $\varepsilon > 0$, $v > 0$, $T > 0$

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{x_0+\varepsilon-vt}^{\infty}(\partial_x^k u(x,t))^2\,dx \le c = c(\varepsilon, v, T). \tag{1.13}$$ bo7

This tells us that the local regularity of the initial datum u_0 *described in* (1.8) *propagates with infinite speed to its left as time evolves.*

(c) *In* [17] *we proved the corresponding result concerning the IVP for the k-generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u^k\,\partial_x u = 0, \quad x,t\in\mathbb{R},\ \ k\in\mathbb{Z}^+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$ gkdv

More precisely, the following result was obtained in [17]*:*

Theorem B. *If* $u_0 \in H^{3/4^+}(\mathbb{R})$ *and for some* $m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, $m\ge 1$ *and* $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\|\,\partial_x^m u_0\|^2_{L^2((x_0,\infty))} = \int_{x_0}^{\infty}|\partial_x^m u_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \tag{1.15}$$ notes-3

then the solution of the IVP (1.14) *satisfies that for any* $v > 0$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{x_0+\varepsilon-vt}^{\infty}(\partial_x^j u)^2(x,t)\,dx < c, \tag{1.16}$$ notes-4

for $j = 0,1,\dots,m$ *with* $c = c(l,v,\varepsilon,T)$.

Moreover, for any $v\ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $R > \varepsilon$

$$\int_0^T\int_{x_0+\varepsilon-vt}^{x_0+R-vt}(\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2(x,t)\,dxdt < c, \tag{1.17}$$ notes-5

with $c = c(l,v,\varepsilon,R,T)$.

However, the proof for the BO equation considered here is quite more involved. First, it includes a non-local operator, the Hilbert transform (1.2)*. Second, in the case of the k-gKdV the local smoothing effect yields a gain of one derivative which allows to pass to the next step in the inductive process. However, in the case of the BO equation the gain of the local smoothing is just* $1/2$*-derivative so the iterative argument has to be carried out in two steps, one for positive integers* m *and another one for* $m+1/2$*. Also the explicit identity obtained in* [18] *describing the local smoothing effect in solutions of the KdV equation is not available for the BO equation. In this case, to establish the local smoothing one has to rely on several commutator estimates. The main one is the extension of the Calderón first commutator estimate for the Hilbert transform* [8] *given by Bajvsank and Coifman in* [3] *(see Theorem 2.1 in section 2).*

(d) *Without loss of generality from now on we shall assume that in Theorem 1.2* $x_0 = 0$.

(e) *We recall that the above result still hold if one replaces* $x,t>0$ *by* $x,t<0$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: section 2 includes the description of the two parameter family of cut-off functions to be employed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Also section 2 has the statements and some proofs of the commutator estimates and the interpolation inequalities needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For each $\varepsilon>0$ and $b\geq 5\varepsilon$ we define a function $\chi_{\varepsilon,b}\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x)\geq 0$, and

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x)=\begin{cases}0, & x\leq\varepsilon,\\ 1, & x\geq b,\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

which will be constructed as follows. Let $\rho\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho(x)\geq 0$, even, with $\operatorname{supp}\rho\subseteq(-1,1)$ and $\int\rho(x)dx=1$ and define

$$\nu_{\varepsilon,b}(x)=\begin{cases}0, & x\leq 2\varepsilon,\\ \frac{1}{b-3\varepsilon}x-\frac{2\varepsilon}{b-3\varepsilon}, & x\in[2\varepsilon,b-\varepsilon],\\ 1, & x\geq b-\varepsilon,\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x)=\rho_{\varepsilon}*\nu_{\varepsilon,b}(x) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-1}\rho(x/\varepsilon)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{supp}\chi_{\varepsilon,b}\subseteq[\varepsilon,\infty),\\ &\operatorname{supp}\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x)\subseteq[\varepsilon,b].\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If $x\in(3\varepsilon,b-2\varepsilon)$, then

$$\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x)\geq\frac{1}{b-3\varepsilon}. \tag{2.5}$$

If $x\in(3\varepsilon,\infty)$, then

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x)\geq\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(3\varepsilon)\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\varepsilon}{b-3\varepsilon}, \tag{2.6}$$

and for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x)\leq\frac{1}{b-3\varepsilon}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now we define $\eta_{\varepsilon,b}$ by the identities

$$\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x) = \eta^2_{\varepsilon,b}, \quad i.e. \quad \eta_{\varepsilon,b} = \sqrt{\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x)}. \tag{2.8}$$ 2.8

CLAIM : For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b \geq 5\varepsilon$ $\eta_{\varepsilon,b} \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $\eta_{\varepsilon,b} =$ supp $\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}$.

It suffices to show that if $f \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $f = [0,1]$ and $f(x) > 0$, $x \in (0,1)$ then $\sqrt{f}$ is smooth at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. Without loss of generality we only consider the case $x = 0$.

By hypothesis on f for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exist $M_n \geq 0$ and $\delta_n > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M_n |x|^n, \quad |x| \leq \delta_n. \tag{2.9}$$ ast1

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dx}\big(\sqrt{f}\big)(0) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\sqrt{f(h)} - 0}{h} = 0$$

by (2.9). Also

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\big(\sqrt{f}\big)(0) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\sqrt{f(h)} - 2\sqrt{f(0)} + \sqrt{f(-h)}}{h} = 0$$

by (2.9). Following this argument of writing the derivatives of $\sqrt{f}$ using finite differences, from (2.9) one obtains the desired result, i.e.

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\big(\sqrt{f}\big)(0) = 0 \quad \text{for any } n,$$

so $\sqrt{f}$ is smooth.

We shall also use that given $\varepsilon > 0$, $b \geq 5\varepsilon$ there exists $c = c_{\varepsilon,b} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}(x) = 1, \quad \text{on supp } \chi_{\varepsilon,b}, \\ &\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x) \leq c\, \chi'_{\varepsilon/3,b+2\varepsilon/3}(x)\, \chi_{\varepsilon/3,b+2\varepsilon/3}(x), \\ &\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x) \leq c\, \chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$ CL

The following extension of the Calderón commutator theorem [8] established by Bajvsank and Coifman in [3] will be a crucial ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Cal **Theorem 2.1.** *Let H be the Hilbert transform. For any $p \in (1,\infty)$ and any $l,m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $l+m \geq 1$ there exists $c = c(p;l;m) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\partial^l_x [H;\psi]\, \partial^m_x f\|_p \leq c\, \|\partial^{m+l}_x \psi\|_\infty \|f\|_p. \tag{2.11}$$ CE

For a different proof of this estimate see Lemma 3.1 in [10]. Also we shall use the following commutator estimate:

Proposition 2.2.

$$\|[D_x^{1/2};h]\partial_x f\|_2 \le c\,\|\widehat{\partial_x h}\|_1 \|D_x^{1/2} f\|_2. \tag{2.12}$$ CE2

Proof. Taking Fourier transform it follows that

$$\widehat{[D^{1/2};h]\partial_x f}(\xi) = c\int (|\xi|^{1/2}-|\eta|^{1/2})\,\eta\,\widehat{h}(\xi-\eta)\,\widehat{f}(\eta)\,d\eta. \tag{2.13}$$ 11

Using the mean value theorem it is easy to see that there exists $c>0$ such that for any $\xi,\,\eta\in\mathbb{R}$

$$||\xi|^{1/2}-|\eta|^{1/2}|\,|\eta| \le c\,|\eta|^{1/2}\,|\xi-\eta|. \tag{2.14}$$ 12

Therefore, inserting (2.14) into (2.13) and using Plancherel identity and Young's inequality we obtain the desired result (2.12).

□

Next, we collect some inequalities concerning the Leibniz rule for fractional derivatives established in [19], [21], [13] to obtain :

Lemma 2.3. *For $\alpha\in(0,1)$, $p\in[1,\infty)$*

$$\|D_x^\alpha(fg)\|_p \le c\left(\|f\|_{p_1}\|D_x^\alpha g\|_{p_2}+\|D_x^\alpha f\|_{p_3}\|g\|_{p_4}\right) \tag{2.15}$$ FD

with

$$\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{p_3}+\frac{1}{p_4},\quad p_j\in(1,\infty],\ \ j=1,2,3,4.$$

Finally, we state some interpolation inequalities to be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_4 &\le c\|D_x^{1/4}f\|_2 \le c\|D_x^{1/2}f\|_2^{1/2}\|f\|_2^{1/2},\\
\|D_x^{1/2}f\|_4 &\le c\|\partial_x f\|_4^{1/2}\|f\|_4^{1/2},\\
\|D_x^{1/2}f\|_4 &\le c\|D_x^{3/4}f\|_2 \le c\|\partial_x f\|_2^{3/4}\|f\|_2^{1/4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$ INT

For the proof of 2.16 we refer to [5].

3. Proof of Theorem A

We shall use induction in $m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ with $m\ge 2$. First we consider the case $m=2$.

Case $m=2$ in (1.8) : Taking second derivative in the equation (1.1) multiplying the result by $\partial_x^2 u(x,t)\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)$ and integrating in the x-variable

one gets the identity (omitting the indices ε, b on χ)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\chi\,dx - \underbrace{v\int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\chi'\,dx}_{A_1}\\ &\underbrace{-\int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^4 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx}_{A_2} + \underbrace{\int \partial_x^2(u\partial_x u)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx}_{A_3} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

bo8

Since given $T>0$, $\varepsilon>0$, $b>5\varepsilon$, $v>0$, there exist $c>0$ and $R>0$ such that

$$\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt) \le c\,1_{[-R,R]}(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times[0,T], \tag{3.2}$$

bo9

using (1.6) (iii) one has after integration in the time interval $[0,T]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T |A_1(t)|\,dt\\ &\le c\,|v| \int_{-T}^{T}\int_{-R}^{R} (\partial_x^2 u)^2(x,t)\,dxdt \le c = c(v,R,T,\varepsilon,b,\|u_0\|_{3/2,2}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

bo10

To control the contribution of A_2 in (3.1) we write after integration by parts that

$$A_2(t) = \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x^3 u\,\chi\,dx + \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi'\,dx = A_{21}(t)+A_{22}(t). \tag{3.4}$$

bo11

Now since the Hilbert transform is skew symmetric

$$\begin{aligned}A_{21} &= -\int \partial_x^3 u\,\mathsf{H}(\partial_x^3 u\,\chi)\,dx\\ &= -\int \partial_x^3 u\,\mathsf{H}\partial_x^3 u\,\chi\,dx - \int \partial_x^3 u\,[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^3 u\,dx\\ &= -A_{21} - \int \partial_x^3 u\,[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^3 u\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

bo12

Therefore

$$A_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}\int \partial_x^3 u\,[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^3 u\,dx = \frac{1}{2}\int u\,\partial_x^3[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^3 u\,dx \tag{3.6}$$

bo13

and consequently using the commutator estimate (2.11) it follows that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} |A_{21}(t)| \le c\,\|u(t)\|_2^2 = c\,\|u_0\|_2^2. \tag{3.7}$$

bo14

Next using that $\eta^2=\chi'$ we rewrite A_{22} in (3.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{22} &= \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^3 u\,\eta\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx \\
&= \int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^3 u\,\eta)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx - \int [\mathsf{H};\eta]\,\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx \\
&= \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx - \int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta')\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx \\
&\quad - \int [\mathsf{H};\eta]\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx \\
&= \int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\,dx - \int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta')\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx \\
&\quad - \int [\mathsf{H};\eta]\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\,dx = A_{221}+A_{222}+A_{223}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

bo15

To estimate A_{222} in (3.8) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |A_{222}(t)|\,dt &\le \int_0^T \|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta'\|_2 \|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2\,dt \\
&\le \int_0^T \int (\partial_x^2 u\,\eta')^2\,dxdt + \int_0^T \int (\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)^2\,dxdt \\
&\le c = c(v,R,T,\varepsilon,b,\|u_0\|_{3/2,2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

bo16

by (1.6) (iii).

Now, after integration by parts we have that

$$A_{223}(t) = \int \partial_x[\mathsf{H};\eta]\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x u\eta\,dx + \int [\mathsf{H};\eta]\partial_x^3 u\,\partial_x u\eta'\,dx. \tag{3.10}$$

bo17a

Using the commutator estimate(2.11), (1.6) (iii) and (3.2), after integrating in the time interval $[0,T]$ one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |A_{223}(t)|\,dt &\le c\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_2(\|\partial_x u\,\eta\|_2 + \|\partial_x u\eta'\|_2)\,dt \\
&\le cT\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u(t)\|_2^2 + c\int_0^T \int (|\partial_x u\eta|^2 + |\partial_x u\eta'|^2)dxdt \\
&\le cT\|u_0\|_2^2 + c(v,R,T,\varepsilon,b,\|u_0\|_{3/2,2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

bo17

Next, we observe that $A_{221}(t)$ in (3.8) is positive and represents the smoothing effect.

Finally we consider A_3 in (3.1). So one has that

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(t) &= \int u\,\partial_x^3 u \partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx + 3\int \partial_x u\,\partial_x^2 u \partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int u\,\partial_x^2 u \partial_x^2 u\,\chi'\,dx + \frac{5}{2}\int \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u \partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= A_{31}(t) + A_{32}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$ bo18

Then

$$|A_{31}(t)| \le \|u(t)\|_\infty \int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\chi'\,dx, \tag{3.13}$$ bo19

so after integration in the time interval $[0,T]$ a combination of the Sobolev embedding, (1.6) (i) and (1.6) (iii) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |A_{31}(t)|\,dt &\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u(t)\|_{1,2}\int_0^T\int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\chi'\,dxdt \\ &\le c(v,R,T,\varepsilon,b,\|u_0\|_{3/2,2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$ bo20

Also one gets that

$$|A_{32}(t)| \le \|\partial_x u(t)\|_\infty \int (\partial_x^2 u)^2(x,t)\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx. \tag{3.15}$$ bo21

Inserting the above information in (3.1), using Gronwall's inequality and (1.6) (ii) we obtain (1.9) with $m=2$ which is the desired result.

Case $m=2$ in (1.10) : Assuming that (1.9) holds for $m=2$ and that (1.10) holds for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $b\ge 5\varepsilon$ with $x_0=0$ and $m=2$ we shall prove (1.11).

From the previous step, $m=2$, we know that the solution satisfies that for any $\varepsilon>0$, $b>5\varepsilon$, $v>0$ and $T>0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int (\partial_x^2 u(x,t))^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^T\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u(x,t)\,\eta_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt))^2\,dxdt \le c = c(T,\varepsilon,b,v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$ bo22

where $\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x) = \eta^2_{\varepsilon,b}(x)$.

From the equation (1.1) one gets that

$$\partial_t(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}) - v\partial_x^2 u\,\chi'_{\varepsilon,b} - \partial_x^2 \mathsf{H}\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b} + \partial_x^2(u\partial_x u)\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$ bo23

Therefore applying $D_x^{1/2}$ to (3.17), multiplying the result by $D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})$ and integrating in the space variable it leads to the identity (omitting the indices ε and b on χ)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi))^2\,dx - \underbrace{\nu\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi')\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,dx}_{A_1}\\ &-\underbrace{\int D_x^{1/2}(\mathsf{H}\partial_x^4 u\,\chi)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,dx}_{A_2}\\ &+\underbrace{\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2(u\partial_x u)\,\chi)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,dx}_{A_3}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

bo24

First we have

$$\begin{aligned}|A_1(t)| &\le |\nu|\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi'))^2\,dx + |\nu|\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi))^2\,dx\\ &= A_{11}(t)+A_{12}(t).\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

bo25

Using the previous step (3.16), (2.15), (2.16) and Young's inequality (and recalling the notation $\eta^2=\chi'$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A_{11}(t) &= |\nu|\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta^2)\|_2^2\\ &\le |\nu|\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\|_2^2\|\eta\|_\infty^2 + |\nu|\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_4^2\|D_x^{1/2}\eta\|_4^2\\ &\le c\,|\nu|\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\|_2^2 + c\,|\nu|\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\|_2\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2\\ &\le c\,|\nu|\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\|_2^2 + c\,|\nu|\,\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

bo26

So after integration in time interval the first term on the right hand side of (3.20) is bounded. To estimate the second term on the right hand side of (3.20) we use that

$$\eta_{\varepsilon,b}^2=\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}\le c\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2^2 \le \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^2\le c$$

by (3.16) with $(\varepsilon,b)=(\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon)$.

We observe that $A_{12}(t)$ is a multiple of the quantity we are estimating in (3.18).

Next we consider $A_2(t)$ in (3.18).

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(t) &= -\int D_x(\mathsf{H}\partial_x^4 u\,\chi)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= -\int D_x\mathsf{H}(\partial_x^4 u\,\chi)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi,dx+\int D_x[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^4 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= A_{21}(t)+A_{22}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$ bo27

From the commutator estimate (2.11) and the previous step (3.16) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{22}(t)| &= \Big|\int \mathsf{H}\partial_x[\mathsf{H};\chi]\partial_x^4 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx\Big| \\ &\le c\,\|\chi^{(5)}\|_\infty\|u(t)\|_2\,\|\partial_x^2 u(\cdot,t)\,\chi(\cdot+vt)\|_2 \\ &\le c\,\|u_0\|_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$ bo28

with $c=c(T,\varepsilon,b,v)$ and $t\in[0,T]$.

We turn our attention to $A_{21}(t)$ in (3.21).

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21}(t) &= \int \partial_x(\partial_x^4 u\,\chi)\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= -\int \partial_x^4 u\,\chi\,\partial_x^3 u\,\chi\,dx-\int \partial_x^4 u\,\chi\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi'\,dx \\ &= 2\int(\partial_x^3 u)^2\,\chi\,\chi'\,dx-\frac{1}{2}\int(\partial_x^2 u)^2\,(\chi\,\chi')''\,dx \\ &= A_{211}(t)+A_{212}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$ bo29

From the previous step (3.16) with $(\varepsilon,b)=(\varepsilon/10,\varepsilon/2)$ one has that $A_{212}(t)$ is bounded and

$$A_{211}(t)\ge 0 \tag{3.24}$$ 31

which will provide the smoothing effect after being integrated in time.

So it remains to estimate $A_3=A_3(t)$ in (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(t) &= \int D_x^{1/2}(u\,\partial_x^3 u\,\chi)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,dx \\ &\quad +3\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi)\,dx \\ &= A_{31}(t)+A_{32}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$ bo32

Thus using (2.10) and (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|A_{32}(t)| &\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\ &\le c\|\partial_x u\|_\infty\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2\\ &\quad + c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_4\|\partial_x^2 u\chi\|_4\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\ &= A_{321}(t)+A_{322}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$ bo33

The term $A_{321}(t)$ will be handled by Gronwall's inequality and (1.6) (ii). Now using (2.16) and Young's inequality one gets

$$\begin{aligned}A_{322}(t) &\le c\|\partial_x(\partial_x u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^{3/4}\|\partial_x u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^{1/4}\\ &\quad\times\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^{1/2}\|\partial_x^2 u\chi\|_2^{1/2}\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\ &\le c\|\partial_x(\partial_x u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^3\|\partial_x u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2\|\partial_x^2 u\chi\|_2^2\\ &\quad + c\,\|D^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$ bo34

where the first term on the right hand side of (3.27) is bounded in time, by our previous step (3.16), while the second term in the right hand side of (3.27) is the quantity to be estimated.

Now we consider $A_{31}(t)$ in (3.25). Thus, by (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}A_{31}(t) &= \int D_x^{1/2}(u\partial_x^3 u\chi)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\,dx\\ &= c\int u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\chi))\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\,dx\\ &\quad + c\int [D_x^{1/2};u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}]\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\chi)D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\,dx\\ &\quad - c\int D_x^{1/2}(u\partial_x^2 u\chi')D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\,dx\\ &= A_{311}(t)+A_{312}(t)+A_{313}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$ bo35

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}|A_{311}(t)| &= \big|-\frac{1}{2}\int\partial_x(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\,(D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi))^2\,dx\big|\\ &\le c(\|\partial_x u\|_\infty+\|u\|_\infty)\int(D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi))^2\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$ bo36

After applying the Sobolev inequality this term will be handled by the Gronwall's inequality and (1.6) (ii).

From the commutator estimate (2.12) and the Sobolev inequality one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{312}(t)| &\le \|\partial_x\widehat{(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})}\|_1\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2\\
&\le \|\partial_x(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_{1,2}\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2\\
&\le \big(\|\partial_x(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2+\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2\\
&\quad +\|\partial_x u\chi'_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2+\|u\chi''_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2\big)\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2\\
&= B(t)\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

bo39

Since by (1.6) (i) and (3.16) $B(t)$ is bounded in the time interval $[0,T]$ and $\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2$ is the quantity we are estimating, Gronwall's inequality provides the bound for $|A_{312}(t)|$.

Finally, we consider $A_{313}(t)$ in (3.28). Using (2.10) and (2.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{313}(t)| &\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\
&\le c\,\|u\|_\infty\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\
&\quad +\|D_x^{1/2}(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_4\|\partial_x^2 u\chi'\|_4\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\
&= A_{3131}(t)+A_{3132}(t).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

39b

From an argument similar to the one applied in (3.27) and (2.10) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{3132}(t) &\le c\,\|\partial_x(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^{3/4}\|u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^{1/4}\\
&\quad\times\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2^{1/2}\|\partial_x^2 u\chi'\|_2^{1/2}\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2\\
&\le c\|\partial_x(u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^3\|u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^2\\
&\quad +\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2^2+\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

39c

The first term on the last inequality is bounded in time by (3.16) with $(\varepsilon,b)=(\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon)$. The last term is the quantity we want to estimate it will be handled using Gronwall's inequality. The second term can be estimated employing (2.15), (2.16) and Young's inequality, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2^2 &= \|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\eta\,\eta)\|_2^2\\
&\le c\|\eta\|_\infty^2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\eta)\|_2^2+c\|D_x^{1/2}\eta\|_4^2\|\partial_x^2 u\eta\|_4^2\\
&\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\eta)\|_2^2+c\|\partial_x^2 u\eta\|_2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\eta)\|_2\\
&\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\eta)\|_2^2+c\|\partial_x^2 u\eta\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

bo38

From (3.16) with $(\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon)$ instead of (ε,b) the last term is bounded in time $t\in[0,T]$ and the previous one is bounded after integrating in time (see (3.16)).

Next we deal with the term $A_{3131}(t)$. Young's inequality gives

$$A_{3131}(t)\le c\|u\|_\infty^2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi)\|_2^2+\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi')\|_2^2. \tag{3.34}$$ bo39d

The first term on the right hand side of (3.34) can be handled using Gronwall's inequality and (1.6) (i). The last term can be estimated using the argument in (3.33).

Collecting these results we obtain the desired estimate (1.11) with $m=2$.

Following the induction argument we shall assume that (1.9) holds for $m\le j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, $j\ge 2$, and prove that if (1.8) with $x_0=0$ and $m=j+1$ holds, then:

(a) (1.10) and (1.11) hold with $x_0=0$ and $m=j$,

and

(b) (1.9) holds with $x_0=0$ for $m=j+1$.

Part (a) : From the hypothesis (1.8) with $m=j+1$ and since $u_0\in H^{3/2^+}(\mathbb{R})$ one gets (1.10) with $m=j$ by interpolation.

Next, a familiar argument provides the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi))^2\,dx-\underbrace{\nu\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi')D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi)\,dx}_{A_1}\\&\underbrace{-\int D_x^{1/2}(\mathsf{H}\partial_x^{2+j}u\chi)D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi)\,dx}_{A_2}\\&\underbrace{+\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j(u\partial_x u)\chi)D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi)\,dx}_{A_3}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$ bo41

First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}|A_1(t)|&\le|\nu|\Big(\int(D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi))^2\,dx+\int(D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\chi'))^2\,dx\Big)\\&=A_{11}(t)+A_{12}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.36}$$ bo42

where $A_{11}(t)$ is multiple of the quantity we are estimating in (3.35) and from (2.15)–(2.16) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12}(t) &= |v|\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\eta\,\eta)\|_2^2 \\ &\le |v|\Big(\|\eta\|_\infty^2\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\eta)\|_2^2+\|D_x^{1/2}\eta\|_4^2\|\partial_x^j u\,\eta\|_4^2\Big) \\ &\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\eta)\|_2^2+c\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\eta)\|_2\|\partial_x^j u\,\eta\|_2 \\ &\le c\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\eta)\|_2^2+c\|\partial_x^j u\,\eta\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$ bo43

By (1.9) with $m=j$ (induction hypothesis) the second term on the right hand side of (3.37) is bounded, while the first term is bounded after integration in time.

Next we turn our attention to $A_2(t)$ in (3.35)

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(t) &= -\int D_x(\mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi)\,\partial_x^j u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= -\int D_x\mathsf{H}(\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi)\partial_x^j u\,\chi\,dx+\int D_x[\mathsf{H};\chi]\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^j u\,\chi\,dx \\ &= A_{21}(t)+A_{22}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$ bo48

where by (2.11) and the conservation of the L^2-norm

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{22}(t)| &\le |\int \mathsf{H}\partial_x[\mathsf{H};\chi]\,\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^j u\,\chi\,dx| \\ &\le c\|\chi^{(j+3)}\|_\infty\|u(t)\|_2\|\partial_x^j u\,\chi\|_2 \\ &\le c\|u_0\|_2^2+c\int(\partial_x^j u)^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx\le c \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$ bo49

using that $0\le\chi\le 1$ and the induction hypothesis (1.9) with $m=j$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21}(t) &= \int\partial_x(\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi)\,\partial_x^j u\chi\,dx \\ &= -\int\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi-\int\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi\partial_x^j u\,\chi'\,dx \\ &= 2\int(\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi\,\chi'\,dx+\int\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\partial_x^j u\,(\chi\,\chi')'\,dx \\ &= 2\int(\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi\,\chi'\,dx-\frac{1}{2}\int(\partial_x^j u)^2\,(\chi\,\chi')''\,dx \\ &= A_{211}(t)+A_{212}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$ bo50

From the previous step (hypothesis of induction) $m=j$ with $(\varepsilon/4,\varepsilon)$ instead of (ε,b) one has that $A_{212}(t)$ is bounded and

$$A_{211}(t)\ge 0, \tag{3.41}$$ bo52

which yields the smoothing effect after being integrated in time. So it remains only to consider A_3 in (3.35).

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(t) &= \int D_x^{1/2}(u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\,dx \\ &\quad + (j+1)\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x u\partial_x^j u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\,dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} c_l \int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^l u\partial_x^{j+1-l}u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^j u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\,dx \\ &= A_{31}(t)+A_{32}(t)+\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}A_{3(l+1)}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

bo53

The estimates for A_{31} and A_{32} are similar to those described in (3.25)–(3.30) in the case $j=2$. So we restrict ourselves to consider $\{A_{3l}\}_{l=2}^{j-1}$.

We consider first the case $j=3$ where the sum in (3.42) reduces to the term $A_{33}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{33}(t)| &= c\,|\int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^3 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\,dx| \\ &\le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\,\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_2^2+\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^3 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

bo54

The last term above is the quantity to be estimated so we just need to concentrate in the first term on the right hand side of (3.43). Thus by (2.15) and (2.16) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\,\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_2^2 \\ &\qquad \le c\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_4\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b}\|_4 \\ &\qquad\quad + c\,\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_4\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_4 \\ &\qquad \le c\|\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^{3/4}\|\partial_x^2 u\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^{1/4} \\ &\qquad\quad \times \|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_2^{1/2}\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b}\|_2^{1/2} \\ &\qquad\quad + c\,\|D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon})\|_2^{1/2}\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}\|_2^{1/2} \\ &\qquad\quad \times \|\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b})\|_2^{3/4}\|\partial_x^2 u\chi_{\varepsilon,b}\|_2^{1/4} \\ &\qquad \equiv A_{331}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

bo55

where all the terms in $A_{331}(t)$ involve at most derivatives of order three which are bounded in $t\in[0,T]$ by hypothesis of induction (and the fact that $0\le\chi\le 1$).

It is clear from the argument given in (3.43)–(3.44) for the case $j=3$ that the general case $j\geq 4$ follows by using the same method which combines the inequalities (2.15), (2.16), Sobolev embedding theorem and induction hypothesis.

Inserting all the estimates above in (3.35) one gets that (1.11) holds for $m=j$.

This completes the proof of the first step, part (a), of our inductive argument.

Next we consider the next step in our induction argument:

Part (b) : So we assume that (1.8) holds with $m=j+1$, our induction hypothesis, i.e., for $k=2,...,j$

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int (\partial_x^k u)^2\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx + \int_0^T\int (D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^k u\eta_{\varepsilon,b}))^2\,dxdt < c, \tag{3.45}$$

bo55b

and recall that in part (a) of our argument we have proven (1.11) with $m=j$. A familiar argument yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx - \underbrace{v\int (\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi'_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx}_{A_1}\\ &\underbrace{-\int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+1}\partial_x^2 u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx}_{A_2}\\ &\underbrace{+\int \partial_x^{j+1}(u\partial_x u)\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dxdx}_{A_3}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

bo55c

From our previous step, part (a), (1.11) holds with $m=j$. Therefore we have that for $\varepsilon'>0$ and $b>5\varepsilon'$

$$\int_0^T\int (\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi'_{\varepsilon',b}\,\chi_{\varepsilon',b}(x+vt)\,dxdt<c. \tag{3.47}$$

56

Using (2.10) after integrating in the time interval $[0,T]$ we have that

$$\int_0^T |A_1(t)|\,dt\leq c=c(\varepsilon,b,v,T). \tag{3.48}$$

bo57

Next we consider $A_2(t)$ in (3.46). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi\,dx+\int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\chi'\,dx \\ &= A_{21}+A_{22}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

bo58

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21} &= -\int \partial_x^{j+2}u\,\mathsf{H}(\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi)\,dx \\ &= -\int \partial_x^{j+2}u\,\mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\chi\,dx-\int \partial_x^{j+2}u\,[\mathsf{H};\chi]\partial_x^{j+2}u \\ &= -A_{21}+(-1)^{j+3}\int u\partial_x^{j+2}[\mathsf{H};\chi]\partial_x^{j+2}u\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

bo59

Thus

$$A_{21}(t)=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{j+3}\int u\partial_x^{j+2}[\mathsf{H};\chi]\partial_x^{j+2}u\,dx. \tag{3.51}$$

bo60

So by the commutator estimate (2.11) and the conservation law

$$|A_{21}(t)|\le c\|\chi^{2j+4}\|_\infty\|u(t)\|_2^2\le c_j\,\|u_0\|_2^2. \tag{3.52}$$

bo61

To estimate A_{22}, recalling that $\eta^2=\chi'$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22} &= \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta^2(x+vt)\,dx \\ &= \int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\eta)\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta\,dx-\int [\mathsf{H};\eta]\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta\,dx \\ &= A_{221}+A_{222}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

bo62

Now

$$\begin{aligned} A_{221} &= \int \mathsf{H}\partial_x(\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta)\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta\,dx-\int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta')\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta\,dx \\ &= \int D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta)\,D_x^{1/2}(\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta) \\ &\quad -\int \mathsf{H}(\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta')\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

bo63

Notice that the first term on the right hand side of (3.54) is positive (and will provide the smoothing effect) and the second one can be bounded by

$$\|\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\eta'\|_2^2+\|\partial_x^{j+1}u\eta\|_2^2 \tag{3.55}$$

bo64

which after integration in time is bounded using part (a) in our argument, i.e. (1.11) with $m=j$, and the claim following (2.8) together with (2.5) and the estimates (2.10).

Similarly, A_{222} can be estimated with the aid of (2.11).

So it remains only to consider A_3. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \int u\,\partial_x^{j+2}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi\,dx+(j+2)\int \partial_x u\,(\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi\,dx \\ &\quad+\sum_{k=2}^{j} c_k\int \partial_x^k u\,\partial_x^{j+2-k}(\partial_x^{j+1}u)\,\chi\,dx \quad (j\geq 2) \\ &= A_{30}+A_{31}+\sum_{k=2}^{j} A_{3k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$ bo65

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{30} &= -\frac{1}{2}\int \partial_x u\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi\,dx-\int u\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\partial_x^{j+1}u\,\chi'\,dx \\ &= A_{301}+A_{302} \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$ bo66

with

$$|A_{301}(t)|\leq \|\partial_x u(t)\|_\infty\int (\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi\,dx \tag{3.58}$$ bo67

where the last term is the quantity to be estimate which will be handled in Gronwall's inequality using (1.6) (ii) and

$$|A_{302}(t)|\leq \|u(t)\|_\infty\int (\partial_x^{j+1}u)^2\,\chi'\,dx \tag{3.59}$$ bo68

which after integration in time is bounded using part (a) of our argument, i.e. (1.11) with $m=j$ and (2.10).

The estimate for $A_{31}(t)$ is similar to that one described in (3.58) for $A_{301}(t)$.

Next, we consider the case $j=2$ where in (3.56) the term A_{32} appears, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{32}(t)| &\leq |c_2\int \partial_x^2 u\partial_x^2 u(\partial_x^3 u)\,\chi\,dx| \\ &= |-\frac{c_2}{3}\int \partial_x^2 u\partial_x^2 u\partial_x^2 u\,\chi'\,dx| \\ &= |-\frac{c_2}{3}\int \partial_x^2 u\eta(\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\eta\,dx| \\ &\leq c\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_\infty\int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\eta\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$ bo69

Since for the case $j=2$ we have that

$$\int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\eta_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx\leq \int (\partial_x^2 u)^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon/5,\varepsilon}(x+vt)\,dx \tag{3.61}$$ bo70

which is bounded in the time interval $[0,T]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_\infty &\le c\|\partial_x(\partial_x^2 u\,\eta)\|_2^{1/2}\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2^{1/2}\\ &\le c\big(\|\partial_x^3 u\,\eta\|_2^{1/2}+\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta'\|_2^{1/2}\big)\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$ bo71

From the previous step we have that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big(\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_2+\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta'\|_2\big)\le c.$$

So

$$\|\partial_x^2 u\,\eta\|_\infty \le c+\|\partial_x^3 u\,\eta'\|_2^2 \tag{3.63}$$ bo72

which is bounded after integrating in time from the part (a), (1.11) with $m=j$ (in this case $j=3$), in our argument.

If $j\ge 2$ the new terms in (3.56) can be handled in a similar manner combining Sobolev theorem, the induction hypothesis and Gronwall's inequality. This completes the induction argument.

To justify the above formal computations we shall follow the following standard argument.

Consider data $u_0^\tau=\rho_\tau * u_0$ with $\rho\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\operatorname{supp}\rho\in(-1,1)$, $\rho\ge 0$, $\int\rho(x)\,dx=1$ and

$$\rho_\tau(x)=\frac{1}{\tau}\rho\big(\frac{x}{\tau}\big),\ \ \tau>0.$$

For $\tau>0$ consider the solutions u^τ of the IVP (1.1) with data u_0^τ where $(u^\tau)_{\tau>0}\subseteq C([0,T]:H^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$.

Using the continuous dependence of the solution upon the data we have that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^\tau(t)-u(t)\|_{3/2,2}\downarrow 0\quad\text{as}\quad\tau\downarrow 0. \tag{3.64}$$ 123

Applying our argument to the smooth solutions $u^\tau(\cdot,t)$ one gets that

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\int(\partial_x^m u^\tau)^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx\le c_0 \tag{3.65}$$ 124

for any $\varepsilon>0$, $b\ge 5\varepsilon$, $v>0$, $c_0=c_0(\varepsilon;b;v)>0$ but independent of $\tau>0$ since for $0<\tau<\varepsilon$

$$(\partial_x u_0^\tau)^2\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x)=(\partial_x(\rho_\tau*u_0))^2\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x)=(\rho_\tau*\partial_x u_0 1_{[0,\infty)})^2\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x).$$

Combining (3.64) and (3.65) and a weak compactness argument one gets that

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\int(\partial_x u)^2\,\chi_{\varepsilon,b}(x+vt)\,dx\le c_0 \tag{3.66}$$ 125

which is the desired result. A similar argument provides the estimate for the second term in the left hand side of (1.9).

This completes the proof of Theorem A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P. I. was supported by Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Medellín. F. L. was partially supported by CNPq and FAPERJ/Brazil. G. P. was supported by a NSF grant DMS-1101499.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Abdelouhab, J. L. Bona, M. Felland and J.-C. Saut, *Nonlocal models for nonlinear dispersive waves*, Physica D. **40** (1989) 360–392.

[2] M. J. Ablowitz and A. S. Fokas, *The inverse scattering transform for the Benjamin-Ono equation, a pivot for multidimensional problems*, Stud. Appl. Math. **68** (1983) 1–10.

[3] B. Bajvsank and R. Coifman, *On singular integrals*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math AMS. Providence RI (1966), 1–17.

[4] T. B. Benjamin, *Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth*, J. Fluid Mech. **29** (1967) 559–592.

[5] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, Interpolation spaces, Springer New York and Berlin (1970).

[6] J.L. Bona and R. Smith, *The initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A **278** (1975) 555–601.

[7] N. Burq and F. Planchon, *On the well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008) 497–542.

[8] A. P. Calderón, *Commutators of singular integral operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **53** (1965) 1092–1099.

[9] R. Coifman and M. Wickerhauser, *The scattering transform for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Inverse Problems **6** (1990) 825–860.

[10] L. Dawson, H. McGahagan and G. Ponce, *On the decay properties of solutions to a class of Schrödinger equations*, Proc. AMS. **136** (2008) 2081–2090.

[11] G. Fonseca and G. Ponce, *The IVP for the Benjamin-Ono equation in weighted Sobolev spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2010) 436–459.

[12] G. Fonseca, F. Linares and G. Ponce, *The IVP for the Benjamin-Ono equation in weighted Sobolev spacesII*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012) 2031–2049.

[13] L. Grafakos and S. Oh, *The Kato-Ponce Inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014) 1128–1157.

[14] A. D. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig, *Global well- posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation on low-regularity spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20**, 3 (2007) 753–798.

[15] R. J. Iorio, *On the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Comm. P. D. E. **11** (1986) 1031–1081.

[16] R. J. Iorio, *Unique continuation principle for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Diff. and Int. Eqs. **16** (2003) 1281–1291.

[17] P. Isaza, F. Linares, and G. Ponce, *On decay properties of solutions of the k-generalized KdV equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 324 (2013) 129–146.

[18] T. Kato, On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies, Studies in Applied Math. **8** (1983) 93–128.

[19] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988) 891–907.

[20] C. E. Kenig, and K. D. Koenig, *On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono and modified Benjamin-Ono equations*, Math. Res. Letters **10** (2003) 879–895.

[21] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993) 527–620.

[22] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *On the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994) 155–172.

[23] C. E. Kenig and H. Takaoka, *Global well-posedness of the modified Benjamin-Ono equation with initial data in* $H^{1/2}$, Int. Math. Res. Not. Art. ID 95702 (2006) 1–44.

[24] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov, *On the local well- posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation on* $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, Int. Math. Res. Not. **26** (2003) 1449-1464.

[25] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov, *Nonlinear wave interactions for the Benjamin-Ono equation.*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **30** (2005) 1833–1847.

[26] L. Molinet and D. Pilod, *The Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation in* L^2 *revisited*, Anal. PDE **5** (2012) 365–395.

[27] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud, *Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with small initial data*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **83** (2004) 277–311.

[28] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud, *Well-posedness results for the Benjamin-Ono equation with arbitrary large initial data*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **70** (2004) 3757–3795.

[29] L. Molinet, J.C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, *Ill- posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001) 982–988.

[30] H. Ono, *Algebraic solitary waves on stratified fluids*, J. Phy. Soc. Japan **39** (1975) 1082–1091.

[31] G. Ponce, *On the global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Diff. & Int. Eqs. **4** (1991) 527–542.

[32] T. Tao, *Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation on* H^1, Journal Hyp. Diff. Eqs. **1** (2004) 27–49.

[33] S. Vento, *Well-posedness of the generalized Benjamin-Ono equations with arbitrary large initial data in the critical space*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2** (2010) 297–319.

(P. Isaza) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, A. A. 3840, MEDELLIN, COLOMBIA
E-mail address: pisaza@unal.edu.co

(F. Linares) IMPA, INSTITUTO MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, 22460-320, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL
E-mail address: linares@impa.br

(G. Ponce) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA.
E-mail address: ponce@math.ucsb.edu